## Chapter 20: CFA II: Invariance \& Latent Means

## Evaluating Invariance via McDonald's NCI

In this chapter I recommended using change in CFI ( $\Delta$ CFI smaller than -.01) to test for measurement invariance in CFA models. A colleague has since pointed out to me a study (Kang, McNeish, \& Hancock, 2016) that showed that $\Delta C F I$ can vary considerably when measurement quality is low (as shown by low factor loadings of items on factors). This condition likely does not apply to the example in this chapter, given the generally high level of the factor loadings, but it likely does apply to many other invariance analyses.

The article also showed that change in McDonald's noncentrality index ( $\triangle \mathrm{MNCI}$ ) performing much better for assessing measurement invariance. "Results show that $\Delta \mathrm{McDonald}$ 's NCl is minimally affected by loading magnitude and sample size when testing invariance in the measurement model..." (p. 533). The authors suggested it could also be used to assess structural invariance, what I refer to in the text as testing substantive research questions about the nature of the constructs across groups. Kang and colleagues suggested that this use of $\Delta \mathrm{MNCl}$ needs additional research, however. A difference of -. 01 suggests a lack of invariance when going from one step of invariance testing to the next.

MNCl is calculated as $m_{k}=\exp \left[-(1 / 2)\left(v_{k}-q_{k}\right) / n\right]$ (McDonald \& Marsh, 1990), or $=\exp \left[-(1 / 2)\left(\chi^{2}-d f\right) / n\right]$. The MNCl is a transformation of the population noncentrality index, often symbolized as $\mathrm{F}^{*}$, transformed so it will be within the range of 0 to 1 . You can calculate $\mathrm{F}^{*}$ (and MNCI ) from the $\chi^{2}, d f$, and $N$.

A revised version of Table 20.2 from page 480 is shown on the next page. I've added to it a column for MNCl and $\triangle \mathrm{MNCI}$, as well as a column for $\triangle C F I$. Using the $\triangle \mathrm{MNCI}$, all levels of measurement invariance were supported except for the invariance of subtest residuals (step 4). Structural invariance was also supported for factor variances and covariances, but not for means (as was the case when we used $\Delta \chi^{2}$ to make this judgement).

I have seen the MNCI referred to as the McDonald centrality (as opposed to noncentrality) index, and indeed the McDonald \& Marsh publication refers to it as "McDonald's measure of centrality" (p. 249), but I think it is most commonly referred to as McDonald's noncentrality index.
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Revised Table 20.2

| Model | $x^{2}$ | $d f$ | $\Delta x^{2}$ | $\Delta d f$ | $p$ | RMSEA | RMSEA* | SRMR | CFI | $\triangle \mathrm{CFI}$ | AIC | MNCI | $\triangle \mathrm{MNCI}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Configural | 161.282 | 136 |  |  |  | . 025 | . 035 | . 047 | . 988 |  | 365.282 | . 959 |  |
| 1a. Male | 83.047 | 68 |  |  |  | . 039 | . 039 | . 047 | . 987 |  | 185.047 | . 975 |  |
| 1b. Female | 78.234 | 68 |  |  |  | . 031 | . 031 | . 043 | . 990 |  | 180.234 | . 983 |  |
| 2. Metric | 172.236 | 147 | 10.954 | 11 | . 447 | . 024 | . 034 | . 051 | . 988 | . 000 | 354.236 | . 959 | . 000 |
| 3. Intercept (means vary) | 181.305 | 157 | 9.069 | 10 | . 526 | . 023 | . 033 | . 051 | . 989 | . 002 | 343.305 | . 960 | . 001 |
| 4. Subtest residuals | 204.322 | 173 | 23.017 | 16 | . 113 | . 025 | . 035 | . 050 | . 986 | -. 004 | 334.322 | . 949 | -. 011 |
| 5. Factor variances | 208.217 | 177 | 3.895 | 4 | . 420 | . 024 | . 034 | . 056 | . 986 |  | 330.217 | . 949 | . 000 |
| 6. Factor covariances | 214.034 | 183 | 5.817 | 6 | . 444 | . 024 | . 034 | . 055 | . 986 |  | 324.034 | . 950 | . 000 |
| 7. Factor means | 243.743 | 187 | 29.709 | 4 | . 000 | . 032 | . 045 | . 057 | . 974 |  | 345.743 | . 910 | -. 040 |
| 7a. Gc means equal | 214.347 | 184 | 0.313 | 1 | . 576 | . 024 | . 034 | . 055 | . 986 |  | 322.347 | . 951 |  |
| 7b. Gv means equal | 220.222 | 184 | 6.188 | 1 | . 013 | . 026 | . 037 | . 055 | . 983 |  | 328.222 | . 941 |  |
| 7c. Glr means equal | 218.091 | 184 | 4.057 | 1 | . 044 | . 025 | . 035 | . 055 | . 984 |  | 326.091 | . 945 |  |
| 7d. Gsm means equal | 217.654 | 184 | 3.62 | 1 | . 057 | . 025 | . 035 | . 056 | . 985 |  | 325.658 | . 945 |  |
| 7e. Gc and Gsm means equal | 221.081 | 185 | 7.047 | 2 | . 029 | . 026 | . 037 | . 056 | . 984 |  | 327.081 | . 942 |  |

